



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/351,608 07/12/99 GROBBEL

M F-40358

M/K-12

EXAMINER

IM52/0920

LERNER AND GREENBERG P A
POST OFFICE BOX 2480
HOLLYWOOD FL 33022-2480

WACHTEL, A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1771

DATE MAILED:

09/20/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/351,608

Applicant(s)

GROBBEL ET AL

Examiner

Alexis Wachtel

Art Unit

1771

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2001.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 32-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other:

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

1. Amendment, submitted as Paper No. 11 on July 7, 2001, has been entered. Claim 32 has been amended, while claims 33-41 remain unaltered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Applicant's arguments regarding rejection of claim 32 are sufficiently persuasive to withdraw the 35 USC 112, 2nd rejections as set forth in section 2 of the last Office Action.

3. Amended claim 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant does not clearly explain what is meant by the term "finite." Does applicant refer to BCF yarns (Specification, pp. 11, line 14) or the "confetti-like elements" (Specification, pp.7 line 24). BCF yarns, are commonly known in the art as "endless fibers." It will be assumed that applicant is referring to BCF yarns as finite. The term "finite" fails to further limit the instantaneous claim as it is relative in nature. For example, if one were to look at a fiber such that both ends can be seen, the length of said fiber can readily be measured and described as finite in length. However, were this fiber to be of great length, its' measurement would be deemed as impractical, thus lending to the classification of such a fiber as "infinite" in length.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action, Paper No. 9.

5. Claims 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by TESCH (US 4,292,367). A discussion of said art with respect to said claims can be found in the prior Office Action, Paper No. 9.

Said rejections are maintained from the last Office Action, despite Applicant's amendment and traversal of said rejection. In particular, the amendment to claim 32 limits the elements used in the floor covering to "finite length." TESCH extensively uses the term "endless thread/s." However, any skilled artisan knows that the term "endless thread/s" is relative in nature and is not truly, immeasurably endless.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action, Paper No. 9. A discussion of said art with respect to said claims can be found in the prior Office Action, Paper No. 9.

8. Claims 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being anticipated by TESCH (US 4,292,367). Claim 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TESCH as stated above.

Art Unit: 1771

9. Applicant's arguments filed on July 9, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument regarding finite fiber length is non persuasive because all fibers are known to be finite and measurable in length. Endless fibers, also known as BCF fibers have measurable lengths and are finite.

Applicant's argument regarding process advantage yielded by use of finite fibers is non persuasive due to lack of relevance to claims.

10. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies: i.e., that, "The finite-length elements are easier to manipulate and equalize in an application stream..." (Applicant's Amendment pp.6 lines 18-19) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendments necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office Action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1771

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Alexis Wachtel, whose number is (703)-306-0320. The Examiner can normally be reached Mondays-Fridays from 8:30am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Terrel Morris, can be reached at (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

aw

Elizabeth M. Cole
ELIZABETH M. COLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/351,608
Art Unit: 1771

Page 6